

JUDICIAL BRANCH OF THE NAVAJO NATION

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

P.O. Box 1779 ♦ Window Rock, Arizona 86515
Telephone 928-871-7669 ♦ Fax 928-871-7016

ELEANOR SHIRLEY
INTERIM Chief Justice of the Navajo Nation



William J.J. Platero
ACTING Associate Justice

Navajo Nation Judicial Branch BID

#26-08-4284SB

for Court and Justice Partners Case Management Software Systems Environment

The Navajo Nation Purchasing Services Department is soliciting for sealed proposals from Proposers capable of satisfying the needs for software and consulting services to implement a new software systems environment to address the NNJB's needs related to Court Case Management System (CCMS).

The Request for Proposals (RFP) is available at the following link:

<https://www.nnooc.org/request-for-proposals-2026/>

This RFP package is provided as a single PDF document. Certain attachments are available through the hyperlinks below and must be submitted in their native file formats. Please refer to Section 5 for further instructions regarding submission requirements.

Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms:	See the Attachments pane in Adobe Acrobat Reader to open Attachment A.
Attachment B – Functional and Technical Requirements:	See the Attachments pane in Adobe Acrobat Reader to open Attachment B.
Attachment C – Cost Proposal:	See the Attachments pane in Adobe Acrobat Reader to open Attachment C.
Online Advertisement Run Date:	September 3, 2026
Newspaper Advertisement Run Date:	September 3, 2026
Closing Date:	November 18, 2026

NAVAJO NATION JUDICIAL BRANCH

Scope of Work and Specifications for a Court and Justice Partners Case Management Software Systems Environment

BID# 26-02-4284SB

SECTION 1 - OVERVIEW

A. General Information:

The Navajo Nation Judicial Branch (NNJB) provides court services, peacemaking, and probation and parole services, to adjudicate cases, resolve disputes, rehabilitate individuals and families, restore harmony, educate the public, agencies, and other governments in Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, and protect persons and property pursuant to Navajo Nation laws, customs, traditions, and applicable federal laws. Pursuant to Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, the NNJB has established a justice system that fully embodies the traditional values and processes of the Navajo People. The Judicial Branch provides these services in the Administrative Office of the Courts, Navajo Supreme Court and eleven Judicial Districts.

The NNJB invites qualified and well-established Contractors capable of providing software to support court, probation, prosecution, defense, and peacemaking services to propose on this bid.

B. Dates for delivery of products: Contractor must complete deliverables within five years of the execution of the contract.

C. Contact Information: Kate Offerdahl-Joyce, BerryDunn
kate.offerdahl-joyce@berrydunn.com

D. Addendum to the RFP: In the event it becomes necessary to revise any part of the RFP, NNJB shall issue a written addendum on the specifics of the change and inform all respondents who received the original RFP.

E. Inquiries Regarding this RFP: Questions regarding this RFP should be submitted in writing via email to Kate Offerdahl Joyce at kate.offerdahl-joyce@berrydunn.com. Only written responses to written questions shall be official. Verbal inquiries and responses shall be considered unofficial.

F. Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal Vendor Teleconference: A non-mandatory Pre-Proposal Vendor Teleconference will be held on September 29, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. Mountain Daylight Time (MDT). Interested participants shall contact BerryDunn in writing to kate.offerdahl-joyce@berrydunn.com to request the teleconference information.

G. Rejection of Proposals: The Navajo Nation reserves the right to waive any informalities or irregularities in the RFP, or reject any or all proposals whenever such rejection is deemed in the best interest of the Navajo Nation.

SECTION 2 – PROPOSAL & BID SUBMITTAL DEADLINE AND RELEVANT INFORMATION

A. Deadline: All proposals & bids must be physically submitted to:

Delivery via UPS or Federal Express:	Delivery via US Mail:
Navajo Nation Purchasing Department Administration Building 1 Window Rock Boulevard Window Rock, AZ 86515 ATTN: Sharon Belone, Buyer	Navajo Nation Purchasing Department P. O. Box 3150 Window Rock, AZ 86515 ATTN: Sharon Belone, Buyer

Proposals due November 18, 2026 by 4:00 PM local Window Rock, AZ time (MST).

Late, facsimiled, or emailed proposals will not be accepted. Late, facsimiled, or emailed proposals will be returned unopened to the firm unrated and firms responding in such fashion shall be considered non-responsive. Use of mail and/or delivery service is at the respondent's own risk. A post mark by the due date on the package will not substitute for the actual, physical receipt of proposal and bid by the deadline.

RFP Schedule of Events	
Event	Estimated Date
RFP Published	September 3, 2026
Pre-Proposal Vendor Teleconference	September 29, 2026
Deadline for Questions from Vendors	October 15, 2026
Proposals Due	November 18, 2026
Shortlist Vendors Notified	Week of January 11, 2027
Vendor Demonstrations	Week of February 8, 2027

B. Proposal Submittal Specifications: All proposals & bids shall be submitted in a sealed envelope clearly marked: "DO NOT OPEN - BID #26-02-4284SB - PROPOSAL FOR A COURT AND JUSTICE PARTNERS CASE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE SYSTEMS ENVIRONMENT".

1. Name and address of the firm submitting the proposal shall be written legibly on the outside of the sealed envelope.
2. All Bid Sheets shall be submitted within the sealed proposal, separately.
3. Note whether firm is a Navajo Nation Priority 1 or Priority 2 vendor. Priority 1 vendors are Navajo Nation certified businesses that are 100% Navajo-owned and controlled that have a principal place of business on or off the Navajo Nation. Priority 2 vendors are Navajo Nation certified businesses that are 51%-99% Navajo or 51% to 100% other Indian owned and controlled businesses or 100% Navajo

Nation owned and controlled economic enterprises having its principal place of business on or off the Navajo Nation.

SECTION 3 - SPECIFICATIONS FOR A COURT CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (CCMS) AND JUSTICE PARTNERS SOFTWARE SYSTEMS ENVIRONMENT

A. Purpose and Deliverables

Navajo Nation Judicial Branch is soliciting Proposals from Proposers capable of satisfying the needs for software, implementation, and maintenance services to implement a new software systems environment to address the NNJB's need to replace its justice technology ecosystem, to include the following applications and modules:

- Court Case Management System (CCMS)
- Prosecution Case Management System
- Public Defender Case Management System
- Probation Case Management System
- Peacemaking Case Management System

Collectively, these applications and modules will be referred to by "CCMS." The selected solution should facilitate information sharing, collaboration, and case processing across these stakeholder groups while respecting the unique operational requirements, confidentiality considerations, and cultural practices of each program.

In addition to soliciting written responses, this document provides information to assist Proposers in preparing their responses and facilitates the subsequent evaluation and comparison process. In that regard, this RFP:

- Provides information essential to soliciting meaningful recommendations and realistic commitments from the Proposers
- Specifies the desired format and content of Proposals in response to this RFP
- Outlines the NNJB's evaluation and selection procedures
- Establishes a schedule for the preparation and submission of Proposals in response to this RFP

This RFP and the selected Proposal in response to this RFP will be incorporated into the contract resulting from this solicitation.

B. Introduction to the Navajo Nation Judicial Branch and its Justice Partners

The mission of the Judicial Branch is to provide exceptional services to the Navajo People by providing an accessible justice system while ensuring judicial independence. This is accomplished by providing court, probation and parole, and peacemaking services throughout the Navajo Nation reservation spanning three states: Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah. Currently, Navajo courts, Probation, Peacemaking, Public Defenders, and Prosecutors use different modules within the same application to support their work. Public Safety departments have read-only access to the application to view necessary data.

Courts

The Navajo Nation Judicial Branch is responsible for adjudicating civil, criminal, family, probate, juvenile, and other matters arising under Navajo Nation law. There are eleven Judicial Districts throughout the reservation in addition to the Navajo Nation Supreme Court. There are approximately 200 Judicial Branch staff and ten judges. See the table below for annual case filing information.

	District Court New Filings	Family Court New Filings
2023	16,297	3,834
2024	8,533 plus a backlog of 5,086 cases	3,814 plus a backlog of 1,247 cases

Currently, Navajo courts accept filings in person, via mail, or by fax. E-mail submissions are accepted in certain case types and time-sensitive circumstances, though the original document must still be submitted to the court. Some Navajo Bar members practice on reservation, while others are off reservation in the surrounding areas. Navajo courts see a particularly high number of pro-se filers.

Probation and Parole Services

Probation and Parole Services supports the rehabilitation and supervision of individuals involved in the justice system. Probation officers conduct investigations, prepare pre-sentence and pre-disposition reports, monitor compliance with court-ordered conditions, document offender activities, conduct field contacts, and provide progress updates to the courts. The program works closely with judges, prosecutors, defenders, law enforcement, families, and community service providers. The future solution should support case supervision, assessments, compliance tracking, reporting, referrals, document management, alerts, and the exchange of information with courts and justice partners.

Peacemaking Program

The Navajo Nation Peacemaking Program is a unique component of the Navajo Nation justice system and is grounded in Diné traditional teachings and restorative justice principles. The program provides non-adversarial dispute resolution services intended to restore harmony, strengthen relationships, and resolve conflicts through consensus-building and community participation. Cases may be referred to the Peacemaking Program by courts, prosecutors, schools, agencies, or community members and often involve family, community, juvenile, and civil matters. Peacemaking staff manage referrals, schedule

peacemaking sessions with designated non-judicial Peacemakers, document outcomes, and coordinate with courts depending on peacemaking outcomes. Because peacemaking workflows differ significantly from traditional court processes, vendors should demonstrate how their solution can support or be configured to accommodate peacemaking-specific business processes, referrals, participant management, confidentiality requirements, and culturally appropriate workflows.

Office of the Prosecutor

Housed within the Department of Justice, the Office of the Prosecutor is responsible for initiating and prosecuting criminal and related proceedings on behalf of the Navajo Nation. Prosecutorial staff manage investigations, charging decisions, case filing, document preparation, witness management, discovery activities, court appearances, and coordination with law enforcement agencies. Prosecutors currently play an important role in the initiation of criminal cases and interact with courts, probation personnel, defense counsel, and law enforcement agencies throughout the case lifecycle. The future solution should support prosecutorial case management, charging workflows, document and evidence management, calendaring, reporting, and secure information sharing with authorized justice stakeholders. Prosecutors are among the primary users anticipated to exchange information electronically with the courts.

Public Defender

The Public Defender's Office provides legal representation and advocacy for individuals involved in judicial proceedings who are entitled to defense services under Navajo Nation law. Defense staff manage caseloads, case preparation, legal research, client communications, investigations, court appearances, motions practice, discovery review, and document preparation. Public defenders require secure access to case information, document management, calendaring, workflow tools, conflict management capabilities, reporting, and electronic exchange of information with courts and other justice partners while maintaining attorney-client confidentiality and appropriate access controls. The Public Defender's Office is a key stakeholder that regularly interacts with the courts and participates in case proceedings.

C. Current Applications Environment

The NNJB rolled out JustWare as its electronic case management application in 2012. The system is currently used by the Navajo Nation courts, Office of Probation and Parole, Peacemaking Services, Prosecutors, and to a lesser extent, Public Defenders. While the NNJB originally purchased JustWare from Journal Technologies, the vendor phased out its on-premises applications in favor of a new cloud-hosted application and discontinued JustWare support. The Judicial Branch now contracts with a third-party software company for application support.

JustWare is hosted on premises by the Navajo Nation Department of Information Technology (DIT). As of June 2026, the system's primary relational database backup size is approximately 48 GB. In addition to structured database records, the organization maintains an external electronic document repository ("filing cabinet") totaling approximately 1.22 TB, consisting primarily of scanned documents and file

attachments associated with case records. The database and document repository are logically linked through the existing application, though stored separately.

Additional information regarding the current environment is provided below for data conversion planning and estimation purposes:

Database Platform:	Microsoft SQL Server 2019 running on Microsoft Windows Server 2022 Datacenter
Number of Database Tables:	433
Open Cases:	66,406
Closed Cases:	702,800
Person Records:	565,741
Total Electronic Documents and Attachments:	594,665
PDF Documents:	487,564
Word Documents:	104,701

The figures provided is intended for planning purposes only. Exact record counts, data structures, field mappings, document relationships, and conversion scope will be validated during project discovery and implementation planning. Proposers should identify any assumptions made regarding data conversion scope, historical data retention, document migration, and data cleansing activities as part of their proposal responses (See Attachment A – Tab 5).

D. Project Objectives

The Judicial Branch seeks a solution that supports collaboration across courts, probation services, peacemaking services, prosecutors, and public defenders while preserving appropriate role-based security and confidentiality controls. The Judicial Branch plans to replace its current CCMS software with a new system, and in doing so, take advantage of the newest technology and gain efficiencies. The Judicial Branch places particular importance on solutions that can accommodate the unique operational and cultural requirements of the Navajo Nation, including support for peacemaking and other processes influenced by Diné values and traditions.

The Judicial Branch seeks to address several challenges in the current environment and gain future efficiencies, including, but not limited to:

- **Key Objectives and Outcomes:**
 - Enhance operational effectiveness by making more timely, accurate, and complete case information available to Judicial Branch personnel, case parties, and relevant justice partners

- Implement robust reporting tools, including but not limited to ad hoc reporting, statistical reporting, and configurable scheduled reports
- Improve internal and external communications using electronic data exchanges with justice partners
- Reduce reliance on manual and paper-based processes
- Introduce modern features and functionality to support increased automation and operational efficiencies

- **Primary Challenges in the Current Environment:**

- Unreliable infrastructure and connectivity: Frequent internet and power outages, particularly in remote areas, require courts to intermittently revert to paper-based processing and limit reliable access to current technology.
- Heavy reliance on manual data entry and processing: Court clerks manually enter most case data and manage filings, increasing administrative burden, risk of errors, and reducing the reliability of data for reporting and analysis.
- Manual and inconsistent communications with parties and partners: Filings, service, and notifications rely on mail, e-mail, and in-person delivery, occasionally resulting in lost documents or delayed notices. With no real-time status updates, court staff receive increased inquiries about case information.
- Lack of automation for deadline tracking and alerts: Clerks track statutory and procedural deadlines using disparate methods (limited ticklers, spreadsheets, notes), with no automated alerts, increasing the risk of missed deadlines and compliance issues.
- Inconsistent filing practices and limited system support across courts: Variations in accepted filing methods, training approaches, and clerical capacity across Judicial Districts can create confusion for filers, reduce access to justice, and impede standardization due to limited IT and application-level support.

E. Functional Areas

The following table contains the list of functional areas of the desired future systems environment.

Functional Areas			
No.	Functional Area	No.	Functional Area
1	Case Management	6	Financials
2	Document Management	7	Probation

Functional Areas			
No.	Functional Area	No.	Functional Area
3	Judicial Tools	8	Peacemaking
4	e-File & Web Portal	9	Prosecution
5	Reporting	10	Public Defender

The List of Functional and Technical Requirements/Capabilities contained in **Attachment B – Functional and Technical Requirements/Capabilities** contains the detailed functionality NNJB requires within each functional area in a future systems environment, as well as general and technical system requirements, and data conversion and interface scope.

F. Alternate Proposals, Partnerships and Proposers of Subsets of Functionality

Alternate Proposals:

- Proposers may submit multiple Proposals for evaluation. For example, if a Proposer offers one or more “branded” products that may meet the needs of NNJB they are encouraged to separately propose each software package for consideration.
- Software companies that deliver their solution through one or more consulting firms (system integrators) are also allowed to submit more than one Proposal for consideration through differing consulting firms.
- A separate Proposal package submitted in accordance with Section 4 is required in order for NNJB to accurately evaluate each Proposal independent of the other.

Partnerships:

Proposers are encouraged to establish partnership relationships to fully provide all requirements defined by the RFP.

- Proposers engaged in a partnership relationship shall submit a single proposal in response to this RFP.
- Partnership relationships shall be clearly defined by proposal responses. Such definition shall identify the entity in the partnership relationship deemed to be the Prime Vendor.
- Each Vendor engaged in the partnership shall respond to any and all applicable portions of this RFP that relate to the work that will be performed, or the capabilities provided. For example, each Vendor shall provide references, and each Vendor shall respond to the Company Background and History questions.

Proposers of Subsets of Functionality:

NNJB will not be accepting proposals from Proposers offering a subset of functionality (best of breed).

G. NNJB and Project Staffing

NNJB intends to have functional and technical resources available during Project implementation, though it is noted that NNJB does not anticipate dedicating staff full-time to the implementation in addition to managing their core job responsibilities. This applies to both functional resources as well as technical resources internal to NNJB. It is anticipated that some functional leads will serve as the functional lead, or as a subject matter expert, across one or more modules during the implementation process.

Staffing considerations are a consideration for NNJB in terms of both the implementation process as well as supporting the software once operational. Vendors are encouraged to submit questions to NNJB during the RFP questions period to solicit such additional information as is necessary to adequately estimate the resource commitments that would be expected of NNJB during implementation, and post go-live for ongoing support of the system(s). Additional resource planning will be performed based upon the selected Proposer(s).

Proposers shall clearly indicate in the proposal responses the estimated level of NNJB resource involvement in the implementation process to allow NNJB to perform adequate planning. NNJB will utilize the response to Proposers' Resource Hour Estimates in Tab 4 – Project Approach and Implementation Methodology, of Proposals as an input into the staffing plan NNJB develops, and requests that Proposers clearly articulate estimated staffing considerations in their responses.

H. Deployment Model

NNJB is not considering an on-premise deployment model and has structured the RFP to allow for the deployment model as one factor in the evaluation process. NNJB wishes to evaluate the greatest range of marketplace offerings feasible through this process.

NNJB recognizes there are many factors contributing to a comparison of Cost Proposals for these various deployment methods including needed infrastructure and/or hardware costs, the potential for reduced hardware and support costs in hosted/SaaS models, a particular Proposer's approach to managing upgrades, and technical staffing needs. As such, NNJB is open to considering two deployment models considering the breadth of functionality and available solutions in the marketplace to address those functional areas. NNJB will consider, in no particular order, the following deployment models:

- a. Software as a Service (SaaS or subscription-based models)
- b. Proposer hosted (hosted and managed by the Proposer, perpetual licenses)

Cost sheets have been provided under **Attachment C – Cost Worksheets** for pricing each of the deployment models.

This solicitation is not a bid process nor will it follow a lowest-priced responsive Proposal process, but will be based on most advantageous Proposal(s) utilizing the Evaluation Criteria listed in the RFP, including the review of life cycle costs (i.e., recurring costs, hardware, third-party licenses, etc.).

In developing proposals, Proposer's shall clearly define the proposed deployment model including the licensing model as well as any perceived benefits of the proposed model. In the event two or more products are proposed under the same proposal (e.g., through a partnership or offered by the same company) the Proposer shall clearly indicate in both the Technical Proposal (Attachment A, Tab 2) and Cost Proposal (Attachment C) the deployment model for each proposed software product.

NNJB does not have a preference as to a specific hosting location but does require hosting to be within the contiguous United States. Vendors shall specify the hosting location in proposal responses, specifically as part of Tab 3 to proposal responses (please see Attachment A for further instruction).

Number of Users

The following user counts by module contained in the table below are estimates and are provided for planning purposes only. The number of users represents the **anticipated future number of users of a new system.**

Participating Department	Estimated Number of Users
Supreme Court	4
Administrative Office of the Courts	8
Office of the Chief Justice	6
Self Help Center	9
District and Family Court	104
Prosecutor's Office	76
Public Defender's Office	4
Probation and Parole	18
Peacemaking Services	15
Floating / Additional Licenses	6
Total User Count Across Departments	250

In addition to internal NNJB stakeholders and users, it is anticipated that the system will be indirectly or directly used by the public (such as attorneys, parties to cases, and members of the public) by means of a public-facing portal. Any such public users cannot be quantified and are not included in the user counts above.

I. Potential Phasing and Target Live Dates

The Judicial Branch requests that offerors provide potential phase start and target go-live dates in proposal responses per **Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms**, Tab 8. These dates should be estimates based on anticipated resource requirements and dependencies between functional areas. These dates are subject to negotiation. The Judicial Branch anticipates that implementation activities would begin in spring of 2027, with a potential go-live target date of late 2028 for all modules in the pilot agencies. The pilot agencies are expected to be one small, medium, and large court along with the Prosecutors, Probation, Peacemaking, and Public Defender modules, ideally beginning with criminal cases to test the new technology environment and the information exchanged between justice partners. Proposers are asked to describe their experience with pilot and phased rollouts in Attachment A, Tab 4.

J. Project Management Documentation

The following information establishes the expectation of the minimum level of project management documentation to be provided by Proposers as a part of, but not exclusively, the resulting implementation services offered. As part of the implementation scope, following signing of a contract, the selected Contractor(s) shall develop and provide NNJB with the following items:

- Project Management Plan: a detailed Implementation Project Plan that, at a minimum, includes the following:
 - Objectives
 - Deliverables and Milestones
 - Project Schedule
 - Resource Management Processes
 - Scope Management Processes
 - Schedule Management Processes
 - Risk Management Processes
 - Quality Management Approach
 - Communication Management Approach
 - Organizational Change Management Approach
 - Status Reporting
- Data Conversion Plan
- Training Plan
- System Interface Plan
- Testing and Quality Assurance Plan
- Pre- and Post-Implementation Support Plan
- System Documentation

- Risk Register

Additional documentation about each Plan may be found in **Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms**, attached to this RFP.

K. Budget

NNJB is committed to fully funding the one-time and recurring annual costs for the acquisition of the software (whether a licensed model or a subscription model is selected as a result of this process). NNJB intends to use the proposals received through this process to inform the budget development process. NNJB has performed initial, high-level estimations, for the acquisition of either a proposer-hosted or cloud-based software solution including professional services and recurring maintenance/subscription costs. Recognizing the cost and payment differences between deployment models, a final budget will be programmed based on the results of this RFP and final contract negotiations.

NNJB is sensitive to the total costs and has listed cost as one of the several evaluation criteria in the RFP; however, this is not an opportunity to identify the lowest priced solution. This RFP opportunity is being presented as a best value solicitation, and not a lowest priced bid, opportunity.

L. Personnel

All of Contractor's personnel providing goods and services under the contract shall possess the necessary skills, experience, and knowledge, to perform their assigned duties. In the event assigned personnel are providing non-conforming or unsuitable services, NNJB shall notify Contractor and provide the opportunity to rectify the deficiency. If unable to cure the nonconforming services, Contractor shall remove from the project and replace the Contractor's personnel that NNJB deems unsuitable for the project with a resource possessing the necessary skills, experience, and knowledge, to perform their assigned duties in a satisfactory manner.

M. Software Upgrades

NNJB shall be entitled to any and all upgraded versions of the software covered in the contract that becomes available from the Contractor. Such upgrades shall be provided at no cost to NNJB so long as a valid maintenance and support agreement, or if applicable software as a service licensing agreement, is in place.

N. Performance Review

The Contractor may be required to meet with NNJB's Project Manager not less than once per quarter to conduct a performance review of the Contractor. These meetings will be either in person at NNJB offices, or via teleconference or web-conference. This performance review will include a review of the pricing, delivery performance, customer service, and improving operational efficiencies.

SECTION 4 - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. Standard Contract:** The Navajo Nation reserves the right to incorporate contract provisions which are based on applicable requirements, such as, Navajo Nation Laws, Federal, State, and local requirements, etc. into the contract documents; including provisions of the Navajo Nation Business and Procurement Act, at 12 N. N. C. § 301-371 et seq., the Navajo Preference in Employment Act, at 15 N. N. C. § 601 et seq., and the Navajo Business Opportunity Act, at 5 N. N. C. § 201 et seq.
- B. Availability of Funds:** Any contract with the Navajo Nation is contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by the U. S. Congress and/or the Navajo Nation Council, pursuant to 2 N. N. C. § 223 (A).
- C. Indemnification:** Contractor shall agree to hold harmless and to indemnify the Navajo Nation against any and all losses, costs, damages, claims, expenses or other liability whatsoever arising out of or in connection with Contractor's services under proposed contract including, but not limited to, any accident to person or property.
- D. Sovereign Immunity:** Nothing herein shall be considered a waiver, express or implied, of the sovereign immunity of the Navajo Nation except to the extent provided for in the Navajo Nation Sovereign Immunity Act, 1 N. N. C. §551 et. seq.
- E. Taxes:** If applicable, all work performed and services provided within the territorial jurisdiction of the Navajo Nation is subject to the six percent (6%) Navajo Sales Tax, 24 N. N. C. § 601 et seq.
- F. Proprietary Information:** Any restriction on the use of data contained within any proposal must be clearly stated in the proposal. Each and every page that contains proprietary information must be clearly marked "Proprietary".
- G. Ownership of Proposals:** All materials submitted with the RFP accepted for review and evaluation shall become the property of NNJB and not returned to the firm. NNJB has the right to use any or all information presented in the RFP subject to limitations outlined in Paragraph F above under SECTION 4. Disqualification or non-selection of a Contractor or proposal does not eliminate this right.
- H. Contractual Obligation:** The contents of the proposal may become part of contractual obligations of the contract award. Failure of the firm to accept these obligations may result in cancellation of the award.
- I. Cost Incurred:** The Navajo Nation is not liable for any cost incurred by the firm prior to issuance of a signed contract award for services. Cost incurred as a result of participating in this RFP process shall be at the sole risk and responsibility of the respondent.
- J. Legal Review:** Best efforts shall be used to resolve any disputes through informal means. In the

unlikely event that formal action must be taken, all agreements will be interpreted by the law of the Navajo Nation. The Navajo Nation reserves the right to pursue appropriate legal action in the set of circumstances in Navajo Nation Courts.

K. Sufficient Appropriation: A contract awarded as a result of this RFP is contingent upon the availability of funds. A contract may be terminated or reduced in scope if sufficient funds do not exist. Sending written notice to the Contractor shall affect such termination or reduction in scope. The NNJB Chief Justice's decision to terminate or reduce the scope due to insufficient appropriations shall be accepted as final by the Contractor.

L. Ownership of Data and Transition: Any and all NNJB data stored on the Contractor's servers or within the Contractor's custody is the sole property of the NNJB. The Contractor, subcontractor(s), officers, agents, and assigns shall not make use of, disclose, sell, copy or reproduce NNJB's data in any manner, or provide to any entity or person outside of NNJB without the express written authorization of the NNJB.

In the event resulting Agreement is terminated for any reason, or upon expiration, and in addition to all other rights to property set forth, the Selected Proposer shall:

- a. Incur no further financial obligations for materials, Services, or facilities under the Agreement without prior written approval of the NNJB;
- b. Terminate all purchase orders or procurements and any subcontractors and cease all work, except as NNJB may direct, for orderly completion and transition; and
- c. Make available to NNJB, at no cost, all NNJB data stored within the system, stored on the Contractor's servers, or within the Contractor's custody, within fifteen (15) days of termination or NNJB request. Such data shall be provided in a machine-readable format as agreed-upon by the parties.

In the event resulting Agreement is terminated for any reason, or upon expiration, and in addition to all other rights to property set forth, NNJB shall:

- d. Retain ownership of all data, work products, and documentation, created pursuant to the resulting Agreement

M. Data Privacy and Security: Contractor shall comply with all relevant federal, state, and local laws and regulations on security and privacy. Contractor shall have and follow a disaster recovery plan. Contractor shall only store and process NNJB data within the continental United States. If applicable to the Contract, the Contractor shall back up all NNJB data daily to an offsite hardened facility.

SECTION 5 - PROPOSAL CONTENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

A. General Instructions

The following instructions must be followed by Proposers submitting Proposals. Offers that do not comply with all instructions contained herein may be disqualified:

- 1. Deadline:** The deadline for Proposal submissions is established on page one. It will be the sole responsibility of the Proposer to submit its Proposal to NNJB before the closing deadline.
- 2. Hard Copy Proposals:** Hard copy proposals are required; Proposers shall submit one (1) hard copy original of the Technical Proposal and Price Proposal and seven (7) copies in separate three-ring binders with tab separators or otherwise under separate cover, clearly marked “Original” or “Copy”. An electronic media file must also be submitted. Proposer must follow the naming and file formats identified in the table below. Technical Proposals shall not include extraneous marketing materials.
- 3. Email Proposals:** No emails will be accepted for proposal submission.
- 4. Electronic Media Proposal File Formats and Naming:** Proposers shall submit one (1) electronic version of the Technical Proposal and one (1) electronic version of the Price Proposal on a removable device (e.g., USB or thumb drive). The following table provides the required file formats and naming conventions for the electronic media files.

Proposal Naming and File Formats

Proposal Section	Recommended File Naming Convention	Required File Format
Technical Proposal • Inclusive of Attachments A, B, Cost Narrative • Inclusive of Proposer’s Standard Travel and Expense Policy, and any Appendices • Inclusive of any Exhibits or Attachments	“(Proposer Name)” Technical Proposal	All files combined into one (1) searchable Adobe PDF
Attachment B – Functional and Technical Requirements	“(Proposer Name)” Proposal Response to Attachment B	To be submitted in Microsoft Excel format, in addition to above PDF format
Price Proposal Attachment C – Cost Worksheets	“(Proposer Name)” Price Proposal	To be submitted in Microsoft Excel format

- 5. Amendment of Proposals:** Proposers may amend Proposals prior to the deadline set for receipt of Proposals. In the event an Addenda is issued and a Proposer has previously submitted a Proposal in

response to this RFP, the Proposer shall notify NNJB via email of the need to submit an amendment and clearly outline the reasons in writing. No amendments will be accepted after the deadline unless they are in response to a request of NNJB.

6. **Confidential Information:** Proposers shall clearly mark any information or graphics that are considered to be confidential as such within Proposal submissions. Any such designation as confidential shall be specific as to the portions of the proposal deemed confidential by the vendor, and not the entire Proposal response.
7. **Technical Proposal Organization Guidelines:** Proposers are instructed to insert the completed Tab forms (Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms) in the corresponding Tab sections as a part of their response to the Technical Proposal. **NNJB expects that Proposers will include additional proposal content beyond simply completing the forms and worksheets provided through this RFP.** The following table contains the organization guidelines for Proposal responses.

Technical Proposal Organization Guidelines

Proposal Tab No.	Proposal Section
Tab 1	Company Introduction and Relevant Experience
Tab 2	Software Solution
Tab 3	Application Architecture and Security
Tab 4	Project Approach and Implementation Methodology
Tab 5	Data Conversion
Tab 6	Testing and Quality Assurance
Tab 7	Training Plan
Tab 8	Project Schedule
Tab 9	Proposed Personnel and Team Organization
Tab 10	References
Tab 11	Cost Narrative
Tab 12	Sample Contracts, Service Level Agreements, and Warranty
Tab 13	Exceptions to Project Scope and Contract Terms
Tab 14	Please insert the response to Attachment B, Functional and Technical Requirements, following Attachment A in the electronic submittals as a consolidated PDF Technical Proposal.
Supplements	Any Proposer-submitted materials or documentation not specifically requested through this RFP may be included as Supplements to the Proposal in a separately marked “Supplements” tab of the proposal.

B. Content for Tabs 1 – 13

1. Tabs 1 – 13

Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms is a Word document that provides detailed instructions and requirements for the Proposer as it relates to the documents to be submitted as their RFP response and services required for the Project. Proposers are instructed to organize Proposals in a tabbed format and to insert the completed Tab forms (Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms) in the corresponding Tabs as a part of their response to the Proposal. In addition to the information captured through the questions and tables in Attachment A, Proposers are requested to provide complementary narrative information, diagrams, and images to help substantiate and support their proposal response to each Tab section. Proposers are directed to Attachment A, which includes forms, tables, and questions, including the cost narrative that are to be completed by the Proposer and inserted into each applicable tab of the RFP response.

2. Attachment B: Tab 14

Attachment B – Functional and Technical Requirements/Capabilities is an Excel document that provides detailed requirements and capabilities related to software features and functions, as well as potential interfaces and data conversion requirements. This tab is to include Proposer's response as detailed in Attachment B – Functional and Technical Requirements/Capabilities, which is an Excel document to be filled out by the Proposer. **Proposers are to provide Attachment B in both Excel format, and also in PDF format appended to the responses to Attachment A – Proposal Response Forms.**

3. Proposal Supplements

Any Proposer-submitted materials or documentation not specifically requested through this RFP may be included as Supplements to the Proposal.

C. Price Proposal

The Price Proposal is contained in Attachment C – Cost Worksheets. Proposers shall not modify the worksheets in any way.

D. Evaluation Procedures

1. Only those proposals and bids submitted within the established deadline (SECTION 2. A.) shall be opened and reviewed for responsiveness. Proposals shall be open in accordance with the Navajo Nation Business Opportunity Act, 5 N. N. C. § 201 et seq.
2. Representatives from the Navajo Nation Business Regulatory Department, the Navajo Nation Purchasing Department (Office of the Controller) and NNJB will be present for the opening of sealed proposals and bids.
3. After each sealed proposal is opened, the content of the sealed envelope will be examined and reviewed for responsiveness to Bid #26-02-4284SB.

4. Proposals determined to be responsive to Bid# 26-02-4284SB will be evaluated on the criteria outlined under Section 5.D.5.
5. The following subsection outlines the intended proposal evaluation process NNJB has identified. NNJB reserves the right to deviate from this process at its own discretion, and to (i) negotiate any and all elements of the RFP, (ii) amend, modify, or withdraw the RFP, (iii) revise any requirements under the RFP, (iv) require supplemental statements of information from any Proposer, (v) extend the deadline for submission of Proposals, (vi) cancel, in whole or part, this RFP if NNJB deems it is in its best interest to do so, (vii) request additional information or clarification of information provided in any Proposal without changing the terms of the RFP, (viii) award this project in whole or in part to a vendor other than the highest scoring vendor based on the determination of the best overall value and/or fit for NNJB, and/or (ix) waive any portion of the selection process in order to accelerate the selection and negotiation with the top-ranked Proposer. NNJB may exercise the foregoing rights at any time without notice and without liability to any Proposer, or any other party, for expenses incurred in the preparation of responses hereto or otherwise.
 - a. **Vendor Shortlist:** NNJB Evaluation Committee will initially review and evaluate each Proposal received to determine the Proposer's ability to meet the requirements of NNJB. The evaluation criteria described herein will be the basis for evaluation. The Evaluation Committee will determine the Proposers best suited to meet the needs of NNJB based on the scoring of the evaluation criteria. These Vendors will form the Vendor Shortlist.
 - b. **Vendor Demonstrations:** NNJB, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to have system demonstrations with those Proposers on the Vendor Shortlist, or any other Proposer. Demonstrations may be conducted at County and Schools offices or via web conference. Demonstrations will involve a scripted demonstration. The schedule, scripts, and demonstration requirements will be provided with the invitation to participate in demonstrations. A Pre-Demonstration Vendor Teleconference will take place for those Vendors that have been shortlisted, and Proposers will have an opportunity to review the format of the demonstrations and ask questions related to procedure and specific demonstration scenarios. Vendors that are invited to participate in demonstrations are advised that the provided scripts must be strictly adhered to while presenting. Optional modules or functionality shall not be presented if they fall outside the scope of requested functionality or that functionality which has been proposed by the Proposers. The proposed version of the software must be shown, and must not include any software that is under development or in beta testing. Evaluation Committee members will view the demonstrations, and additional County and Schools staff may also be in attendance to observe and provide informal feedback.
 - c. **Reference Checks:** NNJB may employ a process of contacting references provided through Proposers' proposals. This process may include teleconference meetings, web conferences, and in-person meetings with references. NNJB reserves the right to conduct reference checks at any point in the evaluation process, and to contact other known users of the proposed system(s) beyond just those references provided.

- d. Best and Final Offer and Request for Clarification:** A Best-and-Final-Offer process may be initiated if it is determined to be in the best interest of NNJB. Such process may be initiated following the identification of the Vendor Shortlist or at any other evaluation process step. Additional processes of scope and cost clarification may be employed as part of the evaluation process if it is deemed to be in NNJB's best interest.

E. Clarification and Discussion of Proposals

NNJB may request clarifications and conduct discussions with any Proposer that submits a Proposal, including requesting additional information. NNJB reserves the right to select the Proposal or Proposals that it believes is the most responsive as determined by NNJB Evaluation Committee, which will best serve NNJB business and operational requirements, considering the evaluation criteria set forth below. Proposers shall be available for a system demonstration to NNJB's staff on dates specified on page one or as otherwise requested by NNJB if selected for system demonstrations. Failure of a Proposer to respond to such a request for additional information, clarification, or system demonstrations may result in rejection of the Proposal. The initial evaluation may be adjusted because of a clarification under this section. NNJB reserves the right to waive irregularities in the Proposal content or to request supplemental information from Proposers.

F. Evaluation Criteria

As described in the preceding Evaluation process sub-section, NNJB intends to follow a cumulative approach to scoring based on key evaluation activities (e.g., scoring is conducted in a progressive manner, following various steps in the process). NNJB hereby reserves the right to evaluate, at its sole discretion, the extent to which each Proposal received compares to the stated criteria. Vendor proposals shall be evaluated in accordance with the following criteria, subject to variation at the sole discretion of NNJB:

Short-List Identification: NNJB intends to utilize the criteria presented in the table below, following the Evaluation Team's review of Proposals.

Short-List Identification Criteria

Criteria	Description	Points
Functionality	This criterion considers but is not limited to the following: • The Proposer's written responses to the Functional and Technical Requirements for proposed functional areas and overall software solution. • The ability for the proposed software to integrate with NNJB systems environment.	35
Technical	This criterion considers but is not limited to the following: • Alignment of the proposed software to NNJB's preferred technical specifications.	15

Criteria	Description	Points
	- The Proposer's written response to each Potential Interface. - The level of integration among proposed functional areas.	
Approach	This criterion considers but is not limited to the following: - The described approach to implement an enterprise system to achieve NNJB's goals and objectives. - The alignment of the proposed implementation timeline to NNJB's desired timeline. - The distribution of implementation tasks among NNJB and Proposer teams. - The proposed resources hours among NNJB and Proposer teams. - The Proposer's approach to key implementation tasks including but not limited to data conversion, testing, and training. - The Proposer's planned ongoing support and maintenance services.	25
Proposer Experience	This criterion considers but is not limited to the following: - The Proposer's experience delivering the services requested in the RFP. - The Proposer's experience with similar implementations for comparable organizations. - The Proposer's experience deploying comparable interfaces to NNJB's related applications.	20
Proposed Staff Experience	This criterion considers but is not limited to the following: - The experience of named staff delivering services requested in the RFP. - The experience of named staff with similar implementations for comparable organizations. - The qualifications of named staff to deliver the services requested in the RFP with a focus on business process optimization.	5

Finalists Identification: NNJB intends to utilize the criteria presented in the table below, following the demonstrations by Short-List Proposers.

Finalist Identification Criteria

Criteria	Description	Points
Functionality Demonstrated	This criterion considers new information learned through Proposer demonstrations including but not limited to the demonstrated user interface and user experience and the alignment of demonstrated functionality with preferred business processes.	15
Technical Capabilities	This criterion considers new information learned through the Technical Discussion as part of Proposer demonstrations as well as other sessions.	5
Approach Discussion	This criterion considers new information learned through the Implementation Approach Discussion as part of Proposer demonstrations as well as other sessions.	5
Experience Discussion	This criterion considers new information learned through the Company Overview Discussion as part of Proposer demonstrations as well as other sessions.	5
Reference Feedback	This criterion considers the feedback received from references related to the Proposer's performance in the implementation including meeting project objectives and timelines, as well as the knowledge, skills, and experience of implementation staff; capabilities of the software; and ongoing Proposer performance with support and maintenance.	20
Comparable References	This criterion considers the relevance of references related to organization size and location, structure of the organization, entity type (e.g. County and Schools/town/village), comparable scope, similar software version, and deployment model.	10

Cost Point Allocation: NNJB will evaluate cost proposals based upon this criteria. Cost points will be applied at the timing in the evaluation process as may determined by the Evaluation Team. NNJB reserves the right to review cost proposals at any stage in the process to ensure pricing is within internal budget planning ranges. Cost points may be refined or replaced in the event of a subsequent Request for Clarification or Request for Best and Final Offer (BAFO). Proposers should be aware of the applicable 6% Navajo Nation Sales Tax for services performed on the Navajo Nation.

Cost Point Criteria

Criteria	Description	Points
Cost	This criterion considers, as applicable, the price of the software licensing, services, and terms of any offered ongoing maintenance and support (including applicable service level agreements, disaster recovery, etc.)	40

Criteria	Description	Points
	proposed in response to the information solicited by this RFP. Proposers will be evaluated on their pricing scheme, as well as on their price in comparison to the other proposers. In evaluating cost, NNJB may evaluate on a fully loaded five-year or a fully loaded ten-year cost of ownership. Fully loaded is defined to include (but is not limited to): software purchase and implementation costs; ongoing support and service costs; hardware costs; and associated hardware support costs. NNJB reserves the right to add their own estimates of the costs (including any anticipated savings) associated with the required level of internal staffing (business users and IT staff) for implementation and for ongoing support, hardware and overhead costs and savings, and may rely on the Proposer's resource estimates as a basis for their calculations. Proposers of point solutions will be compared against other proposals for the respective functional area group.	

G. No Obligation, Right of Rejection, and Multiple Award

The inquiry made through this RFP implies no obligation on the part of NNJB. This RFP does not constitute an offer or a contract with any Proposer or other party. NNJB reserves the right to reject any or all Proposals, in whole or in part, and to waive any informality in proposals received, deemed to be in the best interest of NNJB or to accept or reject all or any part of any Proposal. Proposals deemed to be received from debarred or suspended Vendors will be rejected. NNJB may reject any Proposal that is not responsive to all of the material and substantial terms, conditions, and performance requirements of this RFP. NNJB further reserves the right to award all, part, or none of the components/functional areas included in this RFP. In addition, NNJB reserves the right to make one or more awards to competing Proposers for subsets of functionality as a result of this RFP. NNJB also reserves the right to refrain from making an award if it determines it to be in its best interest. NNJB reserves the right to abandon the Project and/or to re-advertise and solicit other Proposals. NNJB reserves the right to create a Project of lesser or greater expense than described in this RFP or the Proposer's reply, based on the component prices or scope submitted. NNJB reserves the right to cancel this solicitation or to change its scope if it is considered to be in the best interest of NNJB.

H. Offer Held Firm

Unless otherwise specified, all bids/proposals submitted shall be valid for a minimum period of 180 calendar days following the date established for receiving bids/proposals. At the end of the 180 calendar days the bid/proposal may be withdrawn at the written request of the bidder/proposer. If the bid/proposal is not withdrawn at that time, it remains in effect until an award is made or the solicitation is cancelled.

I. Contract Negotiation

After final evaluation, NNJB may negotiate with the Proposer(s) of the highest-ranked Proposal. If any Proposer fails to negotiate in good faith, NNJB may terminate negotiations and negotiate with the Proposer of the next highest-ranked Proposal or terminate negotiations with any or all Proposers. If contract negotiations are commenced, they may be held via teleconference. If contract negotiations are held, the Proposer will be responsible for all of Proposer's costs including, without limitation, its travel and per diem expenses and its legal fees and costs.

J. Failure to Negotiate

If the selected Proposer:

1. Fails to provide the information required to begin negotiations in a timely manner
2. Fails to negotiate in good faith
3. Indicates it cannot perform the contract within the designated timeframes or within budgeted funds available for the Project
4. If the Proposer and NNJB, after a good-faith effort, cannot come to terms; then

NNJB may terminate negotiations with the Proposer initially selected and commence negotiations with the next highest-ranked Proposer. At any point in the negotiation process, NNJB may, at its sole discretion, terminate negotiations with any or all Proposers.

K. Contract Type

The contract resulting from this RFP shall be in form and content satisfactory to NNJB and shall include, without limitation, the terms and conditions provided for in this RFP and any sample agreement provided by NNJB, and such other terms and conditions as NNJB deems necessary and appropriate. The resulting contract from this RFP shall be a not-to-exceed based contract, subject to the Payment Terms identified in Attachment A - Cost Narrative for the various cost types.

The standard of performance for the contract resulting from this RFP shall be in accordance with the highest applicable standards in the financial information software industry. The initial contract price will be based on prices submitted by the Selected Proposer, subject to contract negotiations with NNJB, and shall remain firm for the initial term of the contract. Price adjustments may be negotiated at the request of either party in the extension periods with mutual agreement of the parties. A party proposing a price change in an extension period must notify the other party at least one-hundred eighty (180) days prior to the commencement of any extension period.

L. Contract Changes

Written requests for price changes, during the implementation process or thereafter, resulting from a change of scope, as initiated or requested by NNJB, must be submitted in writing to NNJB via Change Order. Any increase will be based on the Contractor's actual cost increase only, as shown in written documentation. All Change Order requests must be in writing, must not constitute increases in profit,

and must contain data establishing or supporting the increase in cost. At the option of NNJB, (1) the request may be granted; (2) the Change Order may be modified to include a greater, or lesser, scope; or (3) NNJB and Proposer may continue with the Contract without change. NNJB will accept or reject all such written requests within ninety (90) days of the date of receipt of Contractor's request for price increase or receipt of proper written documentation, whichever is later.

If a price increase is approved, NNJB will issue an amendment or change order to the contract specifying the date the increase will be effective. All Services and related accessories are to be billed at prices in effect at the time the service was rendered or order was placed. If a price increase is rejected, the Contractor will be notified and, at the option of NNJB, the Contract may be (1) cancelled and the solicitation may be re-advertised; or (2) continued without change.

All other Contract changes will be effective only on written agreement signed by both parties.

M. Contract Approval

NNJB's obligation will commence only following NNJB Commission's approval of a Contract and the parties' execution of the Contract. Upon written notice to the Contractor, NNJB may set a different starting date for the Contract. NNJB will not be responsible for any work done or expense incurred by the Contractor or any subcontractor, even such work was done or such expense was incurred in good faith, if it occurs prior to the Contract start date set by NNJB.

End of Scope of Work & Specifications for Bid# 26-02-4284SB

NAVAJO NATION CERTIFICATION

Regarding Non-Collusion

Consultant/Project Name

Work Location

In accordance with Navajo Nation Procurement Act, 12 N.N.C. §§ 301-80, Applicant, in either its present form or in any other identifiable capacity, certifies and acknowledges the following:

1. Applicant is submitting an offer that is genuine and not collusive or a sham to the Navajo Nation for the above-named Project;
2. Applicant is fully informed regarding the preparation and required content of its offer, including all pertinent circumstances governing submission of its offer to the Navajo Nation;
3. Applicant has in no way colluded, conspired; connived; or agreed, directly or indirectly, with any other entity, offeror, or person regarding the proposed contract for the Project, to:
 - a. submit a sham offer to the Navajo Nation, or
 - b. refrain from submitting an offer to the Navajo Nation;
4. Applicant has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement or collusion, or communication or conference, with any other entity, offeror, or person, to:
 - a. fix any price or fee relating to its offer or of any other entity, offeror, or person, or
 - b. fix any price, overhead, profit, reimbursement, or cost element of its offer, or that of any entity, offeror, or person;
5. Applicant has not, through any collusion, conspiracy, connivance, or unlawful written or oral agreement, secured any advantage against the Navajo Nation or against any other entity, offeror, or person interested in the proposed contract for the Project;
6. that the individual named below is authorized to represent Applicant for purposes of the declarations in this certification, and that all such declarations are made on behalf of Applicant and all of its owners, partners, officers, members, employees, officials, agents, or parties-in-interest;
7. all statements set forth herein, and in its offer submitted to the Navajo Nation, are true; and
8. that, if the Navajo Nation determines this executed Certification is untrue or not wholly accurate, the Navajo Nation shall have grounds terminate the contract award or contract and pursue other legal remedies, at the Navajo Nation's discretion.

Applicant Name

Printed name individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Title of individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Signature of individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Date

NAVAJO NATION CERTIFICATION
Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Contracting Eligibility

Consultant/Project Name

Project/Work Location

1. Applicant acknowledges, in accordance with the Navajo Nation Procurement Act, 12 N.N.C. §§ 301 *et seq.*, as amended from time to time, to the best of its knowledge, that Applicant, in either its present form or in any other identifiable capacity, has not:
 - a. been convicted in any jurisdiction of the commission of a criminal offense incident to obtaining, or attempting to obtain, a public or private contract or subcontract, or in the performance of such contract or subcontract;
 - b. been convicted in any jurisdiction of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, receiving stolen property, or any other offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty, which currently, seriously, and directly affects responsibility as a Navajo Nation contractor;
 - c. been convicted in any jurisdiction under any antitrust statute arising out of the submission of offers;
 - d. violated contract provisions, such as having:
 - i. deliberately failed, without good cause, to perform in accordance with the contract specifications, purchase descriptions, or within the time limit provided in the contract; or
 - ii. a recent record of failure to perform, or of unsatisfactory performance, with the terms of any contract;
 - e. engaged in any other cause so serious and compelling as to affect Applicant's responsibility as a Navajo Nation Contractor, including debarment or suspension by the Navajo Nation or another government.
2. Applicant certifies that the individual named below is authorized to represent Applicant for purposes of the declarations in this certification, and that all such declarations are made on behalf of Applicant and all of its owners, partners, officers, members, employees, officials, agents, or parties-in-interest;
3. Applicant acknowledges that, if the Navajo Nation determines that this executed Certification is untrue or not wholly accurate, the Navajo Nation shall have grounds to terminate the procurement award or executed contract and pursue other legal remedies, at the Navajo Nation's discretion.
4. Applicant certifies that, to the best of its knowledge, it is eligible to do business with the Navajo Nation in its present form or in any other identifiable capacity pursuant to 12 N.N.C. §§ 1501-16 and 5 N.N.C. §§ 201-380.
5. Applicant acknowledges that per 12 N.N.C. § 1505, it will not be eligible to contract with the Navajo Nation if deemed ineligible by the appropriate department or entity of the Navajo Nation which receives the Applicant's request for consideration for a business opportunity.

Applicant Name

Printed name individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Title of individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Signature of individual signing on Applicant's behalf

Applicant Address

Date

Certificate of Liability Insurance

See the Attachments pane in Adobe Acrobat Reader to open Attachment w9 2024.